

If production costs increase, the company has to proportionally increase their prices, but do people really want to spend an extra \$5 for an already expensive fad shoe? On the other hand, if a rise in production cost is not the cause, then the company must be cutting prices in order to maintain market share and gain some revenues.

Brad at [TMWTFS](#) has stated clearly that a company [should never compete on price](#). Those that do, have no competitive advantage.

Operating margins and net margins also provide vital information about the capabilities of the company. Gross margins could be superb but if operating margins are low, any drop in gross margins could have a material effect on the overall profit of the company. Huge drop in gross margins lead to a loss in operations and subsequently, net margins.

An important note about margins is that we must identify the company strategy before immediately jumping to conclusions. Crocs is an example of a typical company banking on high returns with low inventory turnover to make a profit. Costco, Wal-Mart and other super retailers have small margins yet their inventory turnover is extremely high. The volume of products sold is where the profits come from. So think strategy before dismissing a company completely.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

For a company doing so poorly, SG&A for Crocs is far too high. High SG&A for any company is a serious problem. This line is also where companies expense the limos, private jets, boats etc used by executives. For a company doing so poorly, as a shareholder you would expect the CEO to take economy.

Companies should try to keep SG&A to a certain percentage of revenues. This prevents future problems caused by hiring sprees and huge bonuses. Costco has been able to keep their SG&A between 8.5-10% for the past 10 years. A clear indication of the quality of management.

Impairment Charges

A fancy way of saying “we made some bad decisions which will cost us millions of dollars”. This is **not** a line in the Income Statement that we want to see. Crocs is writing off \$31.6m from goodwill in the 3rd quarter which is essentially writing off \$31.6m from equity. Another reason why a company with high goodwill should be examined further.

Impairment charges is also another way to evaluate management.

The impairment charge also provides investors with a way to evaluate corporate management and its decision-making track record. Companies that have to write off billions of dollars due to impairment have not made good investment decisions. Managements that bite the bullet and take an honest all-encompassing charge should be viewed more favorably than those who slowly bleed a company to death by deciding to take a series of recurring impairment charges, thereby manipulating reality. – Investopedia

Other Income, Income tax, EPS, Shares Outstanding

Footnotes should always be reference to determine exactly what Other Income is. This is evermore true if the number is high.

Income tax and Earnings Per Share (EPS) is straightforward and doesn't require additional comments.

Shares outstanding should be monitored as well. Always use the diluted number as it includes stock options. Crocs has been buying back its shares from the previous year in an attempt to increase shareholder value but that is a minor point compared to what has been discussed above.

Summary

- View numbers as percentages and compare over several quarters and years
- COGS should never be higher than sales
- Margins reflect competitive position and company strategy
- SG&A and Impairment Charges determines the company's management quality

That is how to properly conduct an income statement analysis.

Disclosure

No positions in any stocks mentioned at time of writing.